

MSUMB 96-04, November 1996

Two-parametric extension of $\hbar$ -deformation of $GL(1|1)$

Salih Celik ¹

Mimar Sinan University, Department of Mathematics, 80690 Besiktas, Istanbul, TURKEY.

E-mail: celik@msu.edu.tr

Abstract. The two-parametric quantum deformation of the algebra of coordinate functions on the supergroup $GL(1|1)$ via a contraction of $GL_{p,q}(1|1)$ is presented. Related differential calculus on the quantum superplane is introduced.

Mathematics Subject Classifications (1991): 16S80, 81R50.

Key words: supergroup, q -deformation, $\hbar$ -deformation, differential calculus.

¹New E-mail address: sacelik@yildiz.edu.tr

1. Introduction

Recently matrix groups like $GL(2)$, $GL(1|1)$, *etc.*, were generalized in two ways called the q -deformation and the h -deformation. One of them [q -deformation] is based on a deformation of the algebra of functions on the groups generated by coordinate functions t^i_j which normally commute.

In the q -deformation of matrix groups [1,2], the commutation relations satisfied between the coordinate functions on the groups are determined by a matrix R_q so that the functions do not commute but satisfy the equation

$$R_q(T \otimes T) = (I \otimes T)(T \otimes I)R_q,$$

or an endomorphism of the space with non-commuting coordinates may be used [3]. In this equation the elements of R_q are complex numbers but the matrix $T = (t^i_j)$ is formed by generally non-commuting elements of an algebra.

The second type of deformation, called the h -deformation, is a new class of quantum deformations of matrix groups. The h -deformation is obtained as a contraction from the q -deformation of matrix groups and it has been intensively studied by many authors [4-12].

The purpose of this paper is to present the two-parametric extension of the h -deformation of the simplest supergroup $GL(1|1)$. The single parameter h -deformation of $GL(1|1)$, $GL_h(1|1)$, was introduced by Dabrowski and Parashar [13]. An interesting feature of the two-parameter deformation is that both the deformation parameters are anticommuting grassmann numbers.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we give some notations and useful formulas which will be used in this work. In the following section we present the two-parameter deformation of $GL(1|1)$ as related to superplanes. A two parameter R-matrix which deforms the supergroup $GL(1|1)$ is introduced in section 4. In section 5 we construct the differential calculus on the quantum superplane on which the two-parameter quantum supergroup acts.

2. Review of $GL_{p,q}(1|1)$

We know that the supergroup $GL(1|1)$ can be deformed by assuming that the linear transformations in $GL(1|1)$ are invariant under the action of the quantum superplane and its dual [3].

In this paper we denote (p, q) -deformed objects by primed quantities. Unprimed quantities represent transformed coordinates.

Consider the Manin quantum superplane A_p and its dual A_q^* . The quantum superplane A_p is generated by coordinates x' and ξ' , and the commutation rules

$$x'\xi' - p\xi'x' = 0, \quad \xi'^2 = 0. \quad (1)$$

The quantum (dual) superplane A_q^* is generated by coordinates η' and y' , and the commutation rules

$$\eta'^2 = 0, \quad \eta'y' - q^{-1}y'\eta' = 0. \quad (2)$$

Taking

$$T' = \begin{pmatrix} a' & \beta' \\ \gamma' & d' \end{pmatrix}$$

as a supermatrix in $\text{GL}(1|1)$, we demand that the relations (1), (2) are preserved under the action of T' on the quantum superplane and its dual. Under some assumption one obtains the following (p, q) -commutation relations [3,14,15]

$$\begin{aligned} a'\beta' &= q\beta'a', & a'\gamma' &= p\gamma'a', & \beta'^2 &= 0, \\ d'\beta' &= q\beta'd', & d'\gamma' &= p\gamma'd', & \gamma'^2 &= 0, \\ \beta'\gamma' + pq^{-1}\gamma'\beta' &= 0, & a'd' &= d'a' + (p - q^{-1})\gamma'\beta'. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

These relations will be used in section 3.

Above relations are equivalent to the equation

$$R_{p,q}T'_1T'_2 = T'_2T'_1R_{p,q} \quad (4)$$

where $T'_1 = T' \otimes I$, $T'_2 = I \otimes T'$ and

$$R_{p,q} = \begin{pmatrix} q & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & qp^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & q - p^{-1} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & p^{-1} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5)$$

Here we employ the convenient grading notation

$$(T_1)^{ij}_{kl} = (T \otimes I)^{ij}_{kl} = (-1)^{k(j+l)} T^i_k \delta^j_l, \quad (6)$$

$$(T_2)^{ij}_{kl} = (I \otimes T)^{ij}_{kl} = (-1)^{i(j+l)} T^j_l \delta^i_k. \quad (7)$$

3. The two-parametric deformation of $\text{GL}(1|1)$

We introduce new coordinates x and ξ by

$$U = g_{h_1}^{-1}U', \quad U' = \begin{pmatrix} x' \\ \xi' \end{pmatrix} \quad (8)$$

where

$$g_{h_1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ f_1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad f_1 = \frac{h_1}{p-1} \quad (9)$$

Here the deformation parameter h_1 , in contrast to the usual situation, is an odd (grassmann) number which has the following properties

$$h_1^2 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad h_1 \xi = -\xi h_1. \quad (10)$$

Now, in the limit $p \rightarrow 1$ we get the following exchange relations

$$x\xi = \xi x + h_1 x^2, \quad \xi^2 = -h_1 x\xi. \quad (11)$$

These relations define a new deformation, which we called the h_1 -deformation, of the algebra of functions on the Manin superplane generated by x and ξ , and we denote it by A_{h_1} .

Let us now consider other (dual) coordinates η and y with

$$V = g_{h_2}^{-1} V', \quad V' = \begin{pmatrix} \eta' \\ y' \end{pmatrix} \quad (12)$$

where

$$g_{h_2} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & f_2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad f_2 = \frac{h_2}{q-1} \quad (13)$$

Again, the deformation parameter h_2 is an odd (grassmann) number and it has the following properties

$$h_2^2 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad h_2 \eta = -\eta h_2. \quad (14)$$

Next, taking the $q \rightarrow 1$ limit we obtain the following relations, which define the dual h_2 -superplane $A_{h_2}^*$ as generated by η and y with the commutation rules

$$\eta^2 = -h_2 \eta y, \quad \eta y = y \eta - h_2 y^2. \quad (15)$$

Note that in order to obtain the superplane A_{h_1} and its dual $A_{h_2}^*$, we introduced above, two matrices g_{h_1} and g_{h_2} . Of course, this result could be obtained by using a single matrix $g = g_{h_1} g_{h_2}$. But in that case the required steps are rather complicated and tedious. However, in section 4, in order to obtain an R-matrix we shall take $g = g_{h_1} g_{h_2}$.

We now consider the linear transformations

$$T : A_{h_1} \longrightarrow A_{h_1} \quad \text{and} \quad T : A_{h_2}^* \longrightarrow A_{h_2}^*. \quad (16)$$

Then, we define the corresponding (h_1, h_2) -deformation of the supergroup $\text{GL}(1|1)$ as a quantum matrix supergroup $\text{GL}_{h_1, h_2}(1|1)$ generated by a, β, γ, d which satisfy the following (h_1, h_2) -commutation relations

$$a\beta = \beta a - h_2(a^2 - \beta\gamma - ad), \quad d\beta = \beta d + h_2(d^2 + \beta\gamma - da),$$

$$\begin{aligned}
a\gamma &= \gamma a + h_1(a^2 + \gamma\beta - ad), & d\gamma &= \gamma d - h_1(d^2 - \gamma\beta - da), \\
\beta^2 &= h_2\beta(a - d), & \gamma^2 &= h_1\gamma(d - a), \\
\beta\gamma &= -\gamma\beta + (h_1\beta - h_2\gamma)(d - a), \\
ad &= da + (h_1\beta + h_2\gamma)(a - d) - h_1h_2(a^2 - 2da + d^2)
\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

provided that β and γ anticommute with ξ , η , h_1 and h_2 , and

$$h_1h_2 = -h_2h_1. \tag{18}$$

One can see that when $h_2 = 0$, these relations go back to those of $\text{GL}_h(1|1)$ in Ref. 13.

Alternatively, the relations (17) can be obtained using the following similarity transformation which was used first in [12]:

$$T' = gTg^{-1} \tag{19}$$

where in our case

$$g = g_{h_1}g_{h_2}. \tag{20}$$

To do this, we use the relations (3) and then take the limits $p \rightarrow 1$, $q \rightarrow 1$.

We denote by $\mathcal{A}_{h_1, h_2}$ the algebra generated by the elements a , β , γ , d with the relations (17). The algebra $\mathcal{A}_{h_1, h_2}$ is a (graded) Hopf algebra with the usual co-product

$$\Delta(t^i_j) = t^i_k \otimes t^k_j \tag{21}$$

(sum over repeated indices), co-unit

$$\varepsilon(t^i_j) = \delta^i_j, \tag{22}$$

and the antipode (co-inverse), which is the same as in [13],

$$T^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} a^{-1} + a^{-1}\beta d^{-1}\gamma a^{-1} & -a^{-1}\beta d^{-1} \\ -d^{-1}\gamma a^{-1} & d^{-1} + d^{-1}\gamma a^{-1}\beta d^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{23}$$

provided that the formal inverses a^{-1} and d^{-1} exist.

The quantum superdeterminant of T is defined as, like that in the quantum supergroup $\text{GL}_h(1|1)$,

$$D_{h_1, h_2} = ad^{-1} - \beta d^{-1}\gamma d^{-1} \tag{24}$$

which is independent of the relations (17). The equation

$$ad^{-1} - \beta d^{-1}\gamma d^{-1} = d^{-1}a - d^{-1}\beta d^{-1}\gamma \tag{25}$$

is also valid, however the proof is rather lengthy but straightforward. It can be checked using the relations

$$\begin{aligned} d^{-1}\beta &= \beta d^{-1} - h_2(1 - ad^{-1} + d^{-1}\beta\gamma d^{-1}), \\ d^{-1}\gamma &= \gamma d^{-1} + h_1(1 - ad^{-1} - d^{-1}\gamma\beta d^{-1}), \\ ad^{-1} &= d^{-1}a + h_1d^{-1}\beta(1 - ad^{-1}) + h_2(1 - d^{-1}a)\gamma d^{-1}, \\ \gamma d^{-1}\gamma &= 0, \quad h_1\beta d^{-1}\gamma\beta = -h_1h_2\beta\gamma(ad^{-1} - 1). \end{aligned}$$

It can be also verified that D_{h_1, h_2} commutes with all matrix elements of T (and h_1, h_2), that is, D_{h_1, h_2} belongs to the centre of the algebra

$$TD = DT.$$

Moreover, it can be checked that D_{h_1, h_2} has the multiplicative property

$$\Delta(D_{h_1, h_2}) = D_{h_1, h_2} \otimes D_{h_1, h_2}. \quad (26)$$

We close this section with the following note. If we set $h_1h_2 = 0$ then only the last term in the last relation in (17) vanishes. Essentially, we can eliminate the factor h_1h_2 from the last equation in (17). Indeed some algebra gives

$$ad = da + h_1\beta(a - d) + h_2(a - d)\gamma. \quad (27)$$

Therefore the factor h_1h_2 does not appear in any of the relations in (17). Thus we can demand that $h_1h_2 = 0$. In this situation, the relations (17) can be easily obtained from (19). This issue will be used in the following two sections.

4. R-matrix for $\mathbf{GL}_{h_1, h_2}(1|1)$

We shall obtain an R-matrix for the quantum supergroup $\mathbf{GL}_{h_1, h_2}(1|1)$ from the R-matrix of $\mathbf{GL}_{p, q}(1|1)$. We know that the associative algebra (3) is equivalent to

$$R_{p, q}T'_1T'_2 = T'_2T'_1R_{p, q}$$

[see equ.s (4)-(7)]. Now substituting (19) into (4) and defining the R-matrix R_{h_1, h_2} as

$$R_{h_1, h_2} = \lim_{p \rightarrow 1} \lim_{q \rightarrow 1} (g \otimes g)^{-1} R_{p, q} (g \otimes g), \quad (28)$$

where the matrix g is given by (20), we get the following R-matrix R_{h_1, h_2}

$$R_{h_1, h_2} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - h_1h_2 & -h_2 & h_2 & 0 \\ -h_1 & 1 & -h_1h_2 & h_2 \\ h_1 & -h_1h_2 & 1 & h_2 \\ 0 & h_1 & h_1 & 1 + h_1h_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (29)$$

which gives the (h_1, h_2) -deformed algebra of functions on $GL_{h_1, h_2}(1|1)$ with the equation

$$R_{h_1, h_2} T_1 T_2 = T_2 T_1 R_{h_1, h_2}. \quad (30)$$

Note that the above R-matrix for the special case $h_2 = 0$ coincides with the one in Ref. 13. For the special case $h_1 = 0 = h_2$, the above R-matrix becomes the unit matrix. Also $\hat{R}_{h_1, h_2} = P R_{h_1, h_2}$, where P is the super permutation matrix, satisfies

$$\hat{R}_{h_1, h_2}^2 = I,$$

and thus it has two eigenvalues ± 1 .

If we set $h_1 h_2 = 0$ in (29) then the matrix R_{h_1, h_2} in (29) can be decomposed in the form

$$R_{h_1, h_2} = R_{h_1} R_{h_2} \quad (31)$$

where

$$R_{h_1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -h_1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ h_1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & h_1 & h_1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad R_{h_2} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -h_2 & h_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & h_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & h_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (32)$$

Here the matrix R_{h_1} coincides with the R_h matrix of [13].

It can be checked that these matrices both satisfy the graded Yang-Baxter equation

$$R_{12} R_{13} R_{23} = R_{23} R_{13} R_{12}, \quad R \in \{R_{h_1}, R_{h_2}\} \quad (33)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} (R_{12})^{abc}_{def} &= R^{ab}_{de} \delta^c_f, \\ (R_{13})^{abc}_{def} &= (-1)^{b(c+f)} R^{ac}_{df} \delta^b_e, \\ (R_{23})^{abc}_{def} &= (-1)^{a(b+c+e+f)} R^{bc}_{ef} \delta^a_d. \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

Also, the matrix R_{h_2} obeys the ungraded Yang-Baxter equation.

If we set $\hat{R} = P R$ then one can show that they satisfy the graded braid equation

$$\hat{R}_{12} \hat{R}_{23} \hat{R}_{12} = \hat{R}_{23} \hat{R}_{12} \hat{R}_{23}, \quad \hat{R} \in \{\hat{R}_{h_1}, \hat{R}_{h_2}\} \quad (35)$$

where $\hat{R}_{h_1} = P R_{h_1}$ and $\hat{R}_{h_2} = P R_{h_2}$ with the grading again given by (34). Note that the matrix R_{h_2} does not satisfy the ungraded braid equation.

5. Differential Calculus on the Quantum Superplane

It is well known, through the work of Woronowicz [16], that quantum groups provide a concrete example of non-commutative differential geometry. Wess and Zumino [17] developed a differential calculus on the quantum hyperplane covariant with respect to quantum groups. They have shown that one can define a consistent differential calculus on the non-commutative space of the quantum hyperplane.

In this section we shall construct a covariant differential calculus on the quantum superplane. Before discussing the differential calculus, we note the following. Let us consider the following dual (exterior) superplane Λ_q as generated by φ' and u' with the relations

$$\varphi'^2 = 0, \quad \varphi'u' + q^{-1}u'\varphi' = 0. \quad (36)$$

We define

$$\widehat{V} = g_{h_2}^{-1}\widehat{V}' \quad (37)$$

where the matrix g_{h_2} is given by (13). Then we get the following relations

$$\varphi^2 = h_2\varphi u, \quad u\varphi + \varphi u = -h_2u^2 \quad (38)$$

under the assumption

$$h_2u = -uh_2. \quad (39)$$

These relations define a deformation of the (exterior) algebra of functions on the dual (exterior) superplane generated by φ and u , and we denote it by Λ_{h_2} .

Now one can check that the transformations

$$T : A_{h_1} \longrightarrow A_{h_1}, \quad T : \Lambda_{h_2} \longrightarrow \Lambda_{h_2} \quad (40)$$

define a two-parameter deformation of the supergroup $\text{GL}(1|1)$, that is, they give the (h_1, h_2) -commutation relations in (17).

We now pass to the differential calculus on the superplane. Consider the coordinates x and ξ , belonging to the associative algebra A_{h_1} which satisfy the commutation relations

$$U^i U^j = \left(\widehat{R}_{h_1}\right)^{ij}_{kl} U^k U^l, \quad \widehat{R}_{h_1} = P R_{h_1} \quad (41)$$

where $U = (x, \xi)^T$. These relations are equivalent to (11). Similarly, one can express the relations (38) in the form

$$\widehat{V}^i \widehat{V}^j = -\left(\widehat{R}_{h_2}\right)^{ij}_{kl} \widehat{V}^k \widehat{V}^l. \quad (42)$$

Note that the relations (41) and (42) can be also expressed using the R_{h_1, h_2} matrix in (29) provided it is permuted by the super permutation matrix P .

Denoting the partial derivatives with

$$\partial_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial U^i}, \quad \hat{\partial}_i = \frac{\hat{\partial}}{\widehat{\partial V^i}}, \quad (43)$$

one arrives at

$$\partial_j U^i = \delta^i_j + \left(\hat{R}_{h_1, h_2} \right)^{ik}_{jl} U^l \partial_k \quad (44)$$

and

$$\hat{\partial}_j \hat{V}^i = \left(\hat{R}_{h_1, h_2} \right)^{ik}_{jl} \hat{V}^l \hat{\partial}_k. \quad (45)$$

Then we set up the relations between the coordinates of U , $\hat{V}$ and their partial derivatives as follows: [Here, for simplicity we assumed that $h_1 h_2 = 0$]

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_x x &= 1 + x \partial_x + h_1 x \partial_\xi - h_2 \xi \partial_x, & \partial_x \xi &= \xi \partial_x - h_1 (x \partial_x + \xi \partial_\xi), \\ \partial_\xi x &= x \partial_\xi + h_2 (x \partial_x + \xi \partial_\xi), & \partial_\xi \xi &= 1 - \xi \partial_\xi - h_1 x \partial_\xi + h_2 \xi \partial_x, \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

and from (45)

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\varphi \varphi &= \varphi \partial_\varphi + h_1 \varphi \partial_u - h_2 u \partial_\varphi, & \partial_\varphi u &= u \partial_\varphi - h_1 (\varphi \partial_\varphi + u \partial_u), \\ \partial_u \varphi &= \varphi \partial_u + h_2 (\varphi \partial_\varphi + u \partial_u), & \partial_u u &= -u \partial_u - h_1 \varphi \partial_u + h_2 u \partial_\varphi. \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

The relations between the coordinates U and $\hat{V}$ are

$$U^i \hat{V}^j = \left(\hat{R}_{h_1, h_2} \right)^{ij}_{kl} \hat{V}^k U^l, \quad (48)$$

which read

$$\begin{aligned} x \varphi &= \varphi x + h_2 (u x - \varphi \xi), & x u &= u x + h_1 \varphi x + h_2 u \xi \\ \xi \varphi &= \varphi \xi - h_1 \varphi x + h_2 u \xi, & \xi u &= -u \xi - h_1 (\varphi \xi + u x). \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

Finally one gets from the following equation

$$\partial_i \partial_j = \left(\hat{R}_{h_1, h_2} \right)^{lk}_{ji} \partial_k \partial_l \quad (50)$$

which are the commutation relations among the partial derivatives:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_x \partial_\xi &= \partial_\xi \partial_x - h_2 \partial_x^2, \\ \partial_\xi^2 &= h_2 \partial_\xi \partial_x. \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

One of the interesting problems is to construct $U_{h_1, h_2}(gl(1|1))$. Work on this issue is in progress.

Acknowledgement

This work was supported in part by **T. B. T. A. K.** the Turkish Scientific and Technical Research Council.

References

- [1] Drinfeld, V. G., *Quantum groups*, in *Proc. IMS*, Berkeley, 1986.
- [2] Reshetikhin, N. Y, Takhtajan, L. A. and Faddeev, L. D., *Leningrad Math. J.* **1** (1990), 193-225.
- [3] Manin, Yu I., *Commun. Math. Phys.* **123** (1989), 163-175.
- [4] Demidov, E.E, Manin, Yu I., Mukhin, E. E and Zhdanovich, D.V., *Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl.* **102** (1990), 203-218.
- [5] Ewen, H., Ogievetsky, O. and Wess, J., *Lett. Math. Phys.* **22** (1991), 297-305.
- [6] Zakrzewski, S., *Lett. Math. Phys.* **22** (1991), 287-289.
- [7] Woronowicz, S. L., *Rep. Math. Phys.* **30** (1991), 259-269.
- [8] Ohn, C. H., *Lett. Math. Phys.* **25** (1992), 85-88.
- [9] Kupershmidt, B. A., *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **25** (1992), L1239-L1244.
- [10] Karimipour, V., *Lett. Math. Phys.* **30** (1994), 87-98.
- [11] Aghamohammadi, A., *Mod. Phys. Lett. Math. A* **8** (1993), 2607-2613.
- [12] Aghamohammadi, A., Khorrami, M. and Shariati, A. *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **28** (1995), L225-231.
- [13] Dabrowski, L. and Parashar, P., to appear in *Lett. Math. Phys.*
- [14] Dabrowski, L. and Wang, L. *Phys. Lett. B* **266** (1991), 51-54.
- [15] Chakrabarti, R. and Jagannathan, R., *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **24** (1991), 5683-5701.
- [16] Woronowicz, S. L., *Commun. Math. Phys.* **122** (1989), 125-170.
- [17] Wess, J. and Zumino, B., *Nucl. Phys. B* (Proc. Suppl.) **18 B** (1990), 302.